

EILEEN M. DECKER  
United States Attorney  
LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Criminal Division  
STEVEN R. WELK  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section  
KATHARINE SCHONBACHLER  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Asset Forfeiture Section  
California Bar No. 222875  
Federal Courthouse, 14th Floor  
312 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
Telephone: (213) 894-3172  
Facsimile: (213) 894-7177  
E-mail: Katie.Schonbachler@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

\$175,121.75 IN WELLS FARGO BANK  
FUNDS,

Defendant.

No. CV 15-07149

**VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR  
FORFEITURE**

[18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(A),  
981(a)(1)(C) and 984]

[D.E.A.]

The United States of America brings this claim against defendant \$175,121.75 in Wells Fargo Bank Funds (the “defendant bank funds”), and alleges as follows:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This is a civil forfeiture action brought pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(A) and (C), and 984.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter under 28 U.S.C. §§

1 1345 and 1355.

2 3. Venue lies in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1395(b).

3 PERSONS AND ENTITIES

4 4. The plaintiff is the United States of America.

5 5. The defendant is \$175,121.75 in bank funds seized from a Wells Fargo  
6 Bank account with the last four digits 6662, held in the name of Matador Group, Inc. (the  
7 “Matador Account”) on June 6, 2012, pursuant to a federal seizure warrant. The  
8 defendant bank funds were seized at Wells Fargo Bank located at 535 N. Brand Ave.,  
9 Glendale, CA.

10 6. The defendant bank funds are in the custody of the United States Marshals  
11 Service in this District, where they shall remain subject to this court’s jurisdiction during  
12 the pendency of this action.

13 7. The interests of the Matador Group, Inc. (“Matador”), Oscar Guel (“O.  
14 Guel”) and Jocelyn Sarmiento Guel (“J. Guel”) may be adversely affected by these  
15 proceedings.

16 EVIDENCE SUPPORTING FORFEITURE

17 *Background of the Investigation*

18 8. In approximately April 2010, agents with the Drug Enforcement  
19 Administration (“DEA”) Los Angeles Field Division’s Financial Investigations Group  
20 (“LAFD-FIG”) (hereinafter referred to as “agent” or “agents”) initiated an investigation,  
21 Operation Rush Hour, targeting high-level money launderers connected to Joaquin “El  
22 Chapo” Guzman-Loera aka Chapo (“Chapo”) and other members and associates of the  
23 Sinaloa Drug Cartel (“the Organization”). During this investigation, agents obtained and  
24 developed intelligence from many sources, including open source and private  
25 commercial databases, other federal, state and local law enforcement investigations,  
26 confidential sources and cooperating individuals, undercover operations, wiretaps and  
27 pen registers, subpoenas and witness interviews. This intelligence revealed the  
28 following:

- a. the Organization's drug trafficking and financial operations were compartmentalized;
- b. the financial operations in Mexico were directed by a group of money brokers who were provided information on drug proceeds to be picked up and laundered by counterparts engaged in the drug trafficking operations (the undercover portion of Operation Rush Hour involved picking up drug proceeds and laundering them at the direction of these money brokers);
- c. each of the money brokers identified in this investigation had multiple "clients" involved in drug trafficking operations. These clients collectively represented drug cells operating throughout the United States, Canada, Europe and Asia that generated millions of dollars in drug trafficking proceeds every week;
- d. the money brokers used an array of bank accounts in Mexico, Asia, Central and South America and the United States to launder drug proceeds; and
- e. one of the accounts into which the money brokers directed undercover agents and officers to deposit the collected drug proceeds was the Matador Account from which the defendant \$175,121.75 in Wells Fargo Bank Funds were seized. Between February 10, 2012 and June 1, 2012, a total of \$626,260.00 in drug proceeds was wire transferred to the Matador Account pursuant to the money brokers' instructions, as discussed in greater detail below.

9. In or around October 2011, an undercover agent ("UC1") successfully infiltrated the Organization and began coordinating directly with the Mexico-based money brokers, including Cuauthemoc Quintero-Angulo aka Cheto ("Cheto") and Marco

1 Ivan Zaragoza-Pelayo, aka Julio (“Julio”).<sup>1</sup> UC1 posed as a Colombian money  
2 laundering organization’s primary representative in the United States and Canada,  
3 claiming he had subordinates in several states and regions throughout the world. UC1  
4 represented that, due to his position, all transactions were to be coordinated by him, even  
5 though he might later assign a subordinate to complete the transaction.

6 10. At a meeting in Panama on or about October 28, 2010, UC1 was introduced  
7 to Cheto by a cooperating source. During that meeting, Cheto and UC1 discussed  
8 Cheto’s operations and UC1 learned that Cheto was connected with Julio and other high-  
9 level money brokers.

10 11. During the Panama meeting, UC1 asked Cheto whether Cheto worked on  
11 behalf of the Zetas drug trafficking organization or on behalf of the “short guy.” Cheto  
12 asked whether UC1 was referring to Chapo, and UC1 confirmed that he was. Cheto  
13 stated that 90% of Cheto’s business came from Chapo’s organization. Cheto also stated  
14 that he knew Ismael “El Mayo” Zambada, the head of the Zambada drug trafficking  
15 organization and a leader within the Sinaloa Drug Cartel, and Juan Jose Esparragoza  
16 Moreno (aka El Azul), a leader within the Sinaloa Drug Cartel.

17 12. Cheto’s statements to UC1 that he and the other money brokers were  
18 connected with Chapo and the Organization were consistent with statements made at  
19 other times to UC1 by Julio.

20 13. On October 29, 2011, UC1 met again with Cheto, this time to discuss  
21 international drug trafficking. During the meeting, UC1 stated that his organization  
22 could transport large loads of cocaine from Panama to the United States and other ports  
23 throughout the world. Cheto told UC1 that he had customers who could use such  
24

---

25 <sup>1</sup> On September 7, 2012, the grand jury returned an indictment in United States v.  
26 Zaragoza-Pelayo et al., Case No. 12-CR-853 in the Central District of California. The  
27 named defendants in that case, including Julio, were arrested in Mexico and the United  
28 States is currently seeking their extradition. Agents learned that Cheto was murdered in  
his home in Tijuana, Mexico in May 2012.

1 services, and Cheto and UC1 discussed prices and logistical details. Cheto asked  
2 whether UC1 could arrange transportation from Colombia to Miami, Florida. UC1  
3 responded that UC1 did not know but could find out.

4 14. After Cheto had directed a series of successful undercover drug proceeds  
5 pick-ups by Undercover Officers (the “UCs”), Cheto in January 2012 agreed to introduce  
6 UC1 and two other UCs to Julio, and a meeting was held on January 11, 2012 in Panama  
7 City, Panama. At that meeting, Julio stated that he worked on behalf of Chapo and other  
8 drug traffickers operating from Mexico. Julio stated that he engaged in drug trafficking  
9 and money laundering, and moved drugs to Atlanta, Georgia. In later conversations with  
10 UC1, Julio stated that he also moved drugs to Canada.

11 15. In March 2012, Julio asked UC1 whether UC1 could pick up a large  
12 quantity of currency in the United States and deliver it to Costa Rica. In furtherance of  
13 that request, LAFD-FIG personnel later picked up \$240,000.00 in drug proceeds and  
14 processed it for transportation to Costa Rica.

15 16. On March 15, 2012, UC1 and another LAFD-FIG UC met with Julio in San  
16 José, Costa Rica to deliver the \$240,000.00. This was designed to be a test run to meet  
17 Julio’s need to have bulk currency picked up and delivered to Costa Rica. As discussed  
18 below, UC1 picked up additional drug proceeds on several more occasions from Julio,  
19 who would provide bank account numbers to the UCs for them to wire the funds into  
20 those bank accounts.

21 *Overview of the Narcotics Money Laundering Scheme*

22 17. The Rush Hour narcotics money laundering scheme followed the same  
23 general process for each transaction: When a high-level drug trafficker had drug  
24 proceeds that needed to be picked up, laundered and transferred to Mexico, the high  
25 level drug trafficker would contact a money broker such as Julio. The broker would then  
26 contact UC1 to coordinate the pickup of the currency and provide the details of the  
27 transaction, i.e. the location and amount of the currency pick-up, and a name and  
28 telephone number for the money broker’s representative or money courier responsible

1 for delivering the drug proceeds.

2 18. UC1 or another UC would then contact the money broker's representative  
3 or money courier to coordinate the money pick-up. The UCs would then coordinate and  
4 meet the money couriers in a shopping mall parking lot or similar location somewhere in  
5 the United States to pick up the drug proceeds. The money would then be deposited into  
6 a DEA undercover bank account at City National Bank in the name of a fictitious  
7 business, Bebig Industries ("Bebig"). This account and other undercover accounts  
8 ("DEA Bebig Accounts") were then used to wire - - at Julio's direction - - funds to  
9 various individual and business bank accounts, including the Matador Account. UC1  
10 would report the amount of the picked up proceeds to the money brokers involved. Julio  
11 or another money broker would then instruct UC1 to wire the money to bank accounts of  
12 specific individuals and businesses in the United States and Mexico. Specifically, Julio  
13 would provide the account numbers to UC1 and the amount to transfer to each account.

14 19. During the investigation, UC1 had numerous conversations with Cheto and  
15 Julio during which it was made clear that the proceeds being picked up by the  
16 undercover operation were derived from drug trafficking.

17 ***Summary of Drug Proceeds Transfers To The Matador Account***

18 20. The Matador Account was one of the accounts identified by the money  
19 brokers as an account into which drug proceeds were to be deposited. The signatories on  
20 the Matador Account are Oscar Guel and Jocelyn Guel.

21 21. Between February 2012 and May 2012, Julio and Julio's co-conspirators  
22 arranged several drug proceeds pick-ups with the UCs, including six pickups totaling just  
23 over \$1,155,854, \$626,260 of which Julio directed UCs to wire into the Matador  
24 Account. Below is a summary of the six money pick-ups:

- 25 a. On February 8, 2012, agents coordinated the money pick-up of  
26 \$96,970 from an unidentified male on behalf of Julio. On February  
27 10, 2012, Cheto provided UC1 with wiring instructions to send  
28 \$94,060 to the Matador Account. On or about February 10, 2012,

1           \$94,060.00 was wired from a DEA Bebig Account to the Matador  
2           Account.

3           b.    On March 29, 2012, Julio contacted UC1 and requested a money  
4           pick-up to be conducted in Los Angeles area for approximately  
5           \$140,000. On March 30, 2012, a UC picked up \$140,000 from Javier  
6           Verdugo Miranda in San Dimas, California. On March 31, 2012,  
7           Julio provided UC1 with wiring instructions to send \$137,200 to the  
8           Matador Account. On or about April 2, 2012, \$137,200.00 was wired  
9           from a DEA Bebig Account to the Matador Account.

10          c.    On April 26, 2012, Julio contacted UC1 and requested a money pick-  
11          up to be conducted in Los Angeles for approximately \$196,000. On  
12          April 27, 2012, a UC picked up \$195,600 from Jose Roberto  
13          Hernandez in Montebello, California. Julio instructed UC1 to send  
14          \$45,000 to the Matador Account. On or about May 1, 2012, \$45,000  
15          was wired from a DEA Bebig Account to the Matador Account.

16          d.    On May 14, 2012, Julio contacted UC1 and requested a money pick-  
17          up be conducted in Columbus, Ohio for approximately \$145,000.  
18          The Los Angeles based agents coordinated this pick-up with agents in  
19          the DEA's Columbus Residence Office. On May 17, 2012, a  
20          Columbus-based UC picked up for \$144,955 from two unidentified  
21          males. On May 22, 2012, Julio instructed UC1 to send \$136,257 to  
22          the Matador Account. On or about May 23, 2012, \$136,257 was  
23          wired from a DEA Bebig Account to the Matador Account.

24          e.    On May 17, 2012, Carlos Diaz ("Diaz") contacted UC1 on behalf of  
25          Julio and requested that a money pick-up be conducted in  
26          Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for approximately \$80,000. The Los  
27          Angeles based agents coordinated this money pick-up with the  
28          DEA's Philadelphia Field Division ("PFD"), and on May 21, 2012, a



1 PFD UC conducted a money pick-up in Philadelphia from Diaz. On  
2 May 23, 2012, Julio instructs UC1 to send \$63,743 to the Matador  
3 Account. On or about May 24, 2012, \$63,743 was wired transferred  
4 from a DEA Bebig Account to the Matador Account.

5 f. On May 23, 2012, Gabriel Hernandez-Ramirez on behalf of Julio  
6 contacted UC1 and requested that a money pick-up be conducted in  
7 Cincinnati, Ohio for approximately \$150,000. The Los Angeles  
8 based agents coordinated this money pick-up with the DEA's  
9 Cincinnati Residence Office, and on May 25, 2012 a Cincinnati UC  
10 picked up \$498,329 from David Alexander and Masai Williams in  
11 Cincinnati. On May 29, 2012, Julio instructed UC1 to send \$150,000  
12 to the Matador Account. On or about May 30, 2012, \$150,000 was  
13 wired from a DEA Bebig Account to the Matador Account.

14 22. In sum, Julio and Julio's co-conspirators directed the UCs to deposit a total  
15 of \$626,260 into the Matador Account between February - May 2012. On June 6, 2012,  
16 pursuant to a federal seizure warrant, the defendant \$175,121.75 in Wells Fargo Bank  
17 Funds was seized from the Matador Account, which was the balance of the account at  
18 the time of seizure.

#### 19 FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

20 23. Plaintiff alleges that the defendant bank funds represent or are traceable to  
21 proceeds of one or more exchanges of a controlled substance or illegal narcotic  
22 trafficking or were intended to be used in one or more exchanges for a controlled  
23 substance in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841 et seq. The defendant bank funds are therefore  
24 subject to forfeiture pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6) (governing proceeds and intent to  
25 use in narcotic trafficking violations) and 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) (governing proceeds  
26 of specified unlawful activity, including drug trafficking). To the extent that the  
27 defendant bank funds seized from the Matador Account are not the actual monies  
28 directly traceable to the illegal activity identified herein, plaintiff alleges that the



1 defendant bank funds are identical property found in the same account(s) as the property  
2 involved in the specified offenses, rendering them subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18  
3 U.S.C. § 984.

4 SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

5 24. Plaintiff further alleges that the defendant bank funds are subject to  
6 forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(A) and 984 because  
7 they were involved in one or more violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956 (money laundering)  
8 and 1957 (transactions in criminally-derived proceeds).

9 WHEREFORE, plaintiff United States of America prays that:

- 10 (a) due process issue to enforce the forfeiture of the defendant bank funds;  
11 (b) due notice be given to all interested parties to appear and show cause why  
12 forfeiture should not be decreed;  
13 (c) this Court decree forfeiture of the defendant bank funds to the United States  
14 of America for disposition according to law; and  
15 (d) for such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper,  
16 together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

17 Dated: September 10, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

18 EILEEN M. DECKER  
United States Attorney  
19 LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Civil Division  
20 STEVEN R. WELK  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section  
21

22   
23 KATHARINE SCHONBACHLER  
Assistant United States Attorney  
24

25 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
United States of America  
26  
27  
28

VERIFICATION

I, Theodore C. Russell, hereby declare that:

1. I am a Special Agent with the Drug Enforcement Administration and am the case agent for the forfeiture matter entitled United States of America v. \$175,121.75 in Wells Fargo Bank Funds.

2. I have read the above Verified Complaint for Forfeiture and know its contents. It is based upon my own personal knowledge and reports provided to me by other law enforcement agents.

3. Everything contained in the Complaint is true and correct, to the best of my knowledge and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed September 3, 2015 in Los Angeles, California.

  
Theodore C. Russell